



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

clw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,102	06/30/2003	Krishna Rao Boyapati	132479	9234
6147 7590 01/11/2008 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309			EXAMINER HANDAL, KAITLY V	
			ART UNIT 1795	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/11/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com
rosssr@crd.ge.com
parkskl@crd.ge.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,102	BOYAPATI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaity Handal	1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/6/2007</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/6/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 22-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avery (USP 4,476,249) in view of Wolf (WO 01/38456).

Regarding claims 22, 30-31 and 37, Avery discloses a system for co-producing hydrogen and electrical power comprising:

- an energy generating system (20) for generating energy from an intermittent renewable energy source;
- a production system (16) in energy communication with said energy generating system (20) for producing hydrogen and oxygen;
- a hydrogen-delivery system in fluid communication with said production system (16)

for receiving at least a portion of said hydrogen from said production system (Fig. 1);
said hydrogen-delivery system further configured to channel at least a portion of said
hydrogen to at least one of a power generation system or a hydrogen storage system
(Fig. 1); or

- a hydrogen-delivery system in fluid communication with said production system (16)

for receiving at least a portion of said hydrogen from said production system (Fig. 1);
said hydrogen-delivery system further configured to channel at least a portion of said
hydrogen to at least one of a power generation system (Fig. 1); and

- an oxygen delivery system in fluid communication with said production system (16)

for receiving at least a portion of said oxygen from said production system (16);

- said oxygen delivery system further configured to channel at least a portion of said
oxygen to a biomass/(wood) (C8/L 11-17) gasification system (fig. 3, 10) to produce
synthesis gas by partial oxidation of a biomass feedstock (intended use language) (col.
8, lines 31-36);

- wherein said gasification system (10) is further configured to channel at least a
portion of a synthesis gas to said power generation system (Fig. 1).

While Avery does disclose that said gasification system gasifies coke, the
reference does not disclose said coke being obtained from biomass.

Wolf teaches the system for gasification of coke obtained from partial oxidation of
biomass (page 4, lines 4-12) by use of oxygen obtained from a production system in
energy communication with an energy generating system for generating energy from an
intermittent renewable energy source (Fig. 1, abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use coke obtained from biomass in the system of Avery, as taught by Wolf, since doing so would amount to nothing more than a use of a known material for its intended use in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected result.

Regarding claims 23-28, 32-36 and 38-42, Avery in view of Wolf disclose all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Additionally Avery discloses the system further comprising:

- a hydrogen-reforming system (12) for reforming said hydrogen from at least a portion of said synthesis gas; wherein said hydrogen-reforming system (12) is further configured to channel said hydrogen from said hydrogen-reforming system to said hydrogen-delivery system (Fig. 1);
- wherein said power generation system comprises a hydrogen-based electricity production system (28);
- wherein said hydrogen-based electricity production system (28) comprises at least one of fuel cell-based electricity production system or a micro-turbine-based electricity production system or an internal combustion engine-based electricity production system or a combination thereof (Fig. 1);
- wherein said intermittent renewable energy comprises at least one of wind energy or solar energy or tidal energy (abstract);
- wherein said energy comprises at least one of thermal energy or electrical energy (abstract);
- wherein said production system is selected from the group consisting of an

electrolysis system, a thermal splitting system, an electro-thermal splitting system, a thermo-chemical splitting system, a photo-chemical splitting system, a photo-electrochemical splitting system and combinations thereof (abstract).

Regarding claim 29, while Avery does not explicitly disclose any specific design of said gasifier, said gasifier would, inherently comprises at least one of a fixed bed gasification system or a fluidized bed gasification system.

Regarding limitations recited in claims 22-42 which are directed to a manner of operating disclosed system, neither the manner of operating a disclosed device nor material or article worked upon further limit an apparatus claim. Said limitations do not differentiate apparatus claims from prior art. See MPEP § 2114 and 2115. Further, process limitations do not have patentable weight in an apparatus claim. See *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969) that states "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof and to an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim."

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Response to Arguments

4. 35 USC 112 Rejection

Rejection made under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn by examiner due to applicant's amendment made to the claims.

5. Prior Art: Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. The applicant argues that Avery does not disclose intermittent renewable energy source because OTEC is not intermittent. Furthermore, applicant argues the validity of the USP 4,982,569 as far as teaching wherein that OTEC is intermittent. Applicant further referenced reports which state that OTEC is not intermittent. This is not found persuasive. The question of validity or invalidity is otherwise exclusively a matter to be determined by a court. (see MPEP 1701 [R-3]).

7. Applicant argues that Avery does not indicate biomass gasification. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Avery does teach that one of the alternative to coal as a carbon source is wood (see col. 8, lines 15-17).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaity Handal whose telephone number is (571) 272-8520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Application/Control Number:
10/609,102
Art Unit: 1795

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KH

1/3/08



ALEXA D. NECKEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER